

Teaching, Empathetic Communication, And Educational-Juridical Frameworks: A Comparative Approach to Rights, Policies, and Quality in Higher Education

Karina Raquel Bartra-Rivero¹, Jhonny Richard Rodriguez-Barboza^{2*}, Miguel Sebastián Armesto-Céspedes³, Catalina Chepa Guzmán-Melgar⁴, Yvonne Jacqueline Alarcón-Villalobos⁵

^{1,2,3}Universidad Peruana de Ciencias Aplicadas (UPC), Lima, Perú; jrodriguez@ucal.edu.pe

⁴Universidad de San Martín de Porres (USMP), Lima, Perú.

⁵Universidad de la Integración de las Américas (UNIDA), Asunción, Paraguay.

Keywords:

Educational-juridical frameworks;
Empathetic communication;
Higher education;
Teacher performance;
Teacher-student relationships.

Abstract. This study investigates the relationship between empathetic communication and teacher performance within the framework of educational-juridical principles in higher education, emphasizing the role of empathetic communication in enhancing pedagogical practices and the legal rights of both teachers and students. The research aims to assess the levels of empathetic communication and teacher performance and explore their correlation within the context of business faculties in Lima, Peru. The descriptive-correlational design employed a survey comprising 24 items on empathetic communication (Rosenberg, 2003) and 23 items on teacher performance (Blanco & Di Vora, 1992), using a Likert scale. A sample of 364 students from private universities with business faculties and extensive educational experience was surveyed. The study found a strong positive correlation between empathetic communication and teacher performance, suggesting that increased empathy can significantly improve teaching effectiveness. The findings underscore the importance of integrating empathetic communication within educational and juridical frameworks to foster supportive learning environments and promote quality education. This research fills a gap in literature by demonstrating how empathetic communication not only enhances teacher performance but also aligns with broader educational rights and policies in higher education. The study provides valuable insights for educators, policymakers, and institutions aiming to improve both teaching practices and the quality of higher education.

1. INTRODUCTION

The evolving dynamics in higher education require a comprehensive analysis of the frameworks that govern educational practices, particularly in the realm of teaching, empathetic communication, and the intersection of legal and educational policies. The present study delves into the critical intersection between pedagogy, empathy in communication, and legal-educational frameworks, with a particular focus on their impact on higher education. Understanding the role of legal frameworks and their interaction with teaching strategies in ensuring quality education is essential, especially in a globalized and increasingly digitalized world.

Globally, there is a growing recognition of the need for effective legal frameworks to guide educational policies that promote quality teaching and learning. According to international reports, many nations have adopted policies aimed at enhancing educational standards, ensuring academic freedom, and fostering inclusivity. However, challenges persist, particularly regarding the integration of empathetic communication into teaching methodologies and the alignment of educational practices with evolving legal standards. The World Bank (2020) and UNESCO (2019) have highlighted the importance of addressing these gaps, emphasizing that empathetic communication and well-defined legal frameworks are crucial for improving teaching outcomes and maintaining educational standards worldwide.

In the context of Peru, the integration of legal frameworks and effective communication in higher education presents unique challenges. Despite significant reforms, there remain discrepancies between legal norms and their application in educational settings. The Ministry of Education of Peru (2019) has reported that while progress has been made in ensuring quality education, the implementation of policies related to teachers' rights and communication remains inconsistent. Moreover, the professional development of educators often lacks the emphasis on empathetic communication, which is essential for fostering a conducive learning environment. These challenges are further exacerbated by the socio-cultural and economic disparities that affect access to quality education across different regions of the country.

The importance of this research lies in its focus on addressing the gap between legal frameworks, communication strategies, and the quality of education in higher learning institutions. By examining the intersection of teaching, empathy, and legal policies, the study aims to contribute to the development of more effective educational strategies that align with both pedagogical and legal standards. The research question guiding this study is: How do legal frameworks and empathetic communication influence the quality of education in higher education institutions, particularly in Peru? This inquiry seeks to address the knowledge gap regarding the practical integration of legal frameworks into teaching practices and their impact on educational quality. The findings of this study will contribute to the ongoing discourse on improving teaching practices and the role of legal policies in shaping the future of higher education.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This section explores the foundational concepts, previous research results, relevant theories, and a concluding synthesis of the theoretical framework that supports this study.

2.1. Conceptual and Theoretical Definitions

The key concepts in this research involve teaching, empathetic communication, and legal-educational frameworks, each of which plays a significant role in shaping the dynamics of higher education.

Teaching, as a concept, refers to the deliberate and systematic process of imparting knowledge, skills, and values to students. It encompasses various aspects such as curriculum design, pedagogical strategies, assessments, and the relationships between educators and learners. According to Biggs and Tang (2011), effective teaching fosters student engagement and enhances learning outcomes by creating an environment conducive to intellectual growth and critical thinking.

Empathetic communication, on the other hand, focuses on the ability to understand and share the feelings of others. It is considered essential for building meaningful relationships between teachers and students, promoting an environment of trust, respect, and emotional support. Frymier and Houser (2000) assert that empathetic communication is crucial for improving student engagement, which in turn positively impacts academic success. In higher education, this type of communication helps instructors connect with students on a deeper level, addressing not just their cognitive needs but also their emotional and psychological well-being.

Lastly, legal-educational frameworks refer to the laws, regulations, and policies that govern educational institutions, ensuring that teaching and learning practices are fair, transparent, and aligned with societal values. According to Hawkins (2015), these frameworks serve to protect both students and educators, promoting equity, student rights, and academic integrity. In the context of higher education, legal frameworks provide guidelines for educational practices, helping institutions navigate complex issues such as student protections, teacher rights, and institutional accountability.

2.2. Results from Previous Studies: Correlations and Quantitative Data

Empirical studies have provided valuable data on the relationships between teaching, empathetic communication, and legal frameworks in higher education. These studies highlight the significant role that these elements play in shaping student outcomes.

For example, McCluskey et al. (2018) found a strong positive correlation between empathetic communication and student satisfaction. Their research indicated that 78% of students reported higher levels of satisfaction in courses where instructors employed empathetic communication techniques, suggesting that such strategies contribute to a more supportive and effective learning environment.

Similarly, a survey conducted by Johnson (2017) revealed that 63% of respondents regarded legal policies addressing teacher rights and student protections as crucial for creating a fair and conducive learning environment. Moreover, 57% of the students in institutions with well-defined legal frameworks reported improved academic performance, indicating that legal regulations are linked to better educational outcomes.

Greenfield et al. (2019) also found that universities with clear legal frameworks, including policies on academic integrity and student rights, had 22% lower dropout rates compared to those without such policies. This suggests that a strong legal framework is an important factor in enhancing student retention and success.

2.3. General and Specific Theories Related to the Variables

Several theories provide insights into the relationship between teaching, empathetic communication, and legal frameworks in education. These theories offer explanations for how these elements interact to influence student outcomes.

The constructivist learning theory, developed by Piaget (1973), posits that learning is an active process in which students construct knowledge based on their experiences. This theory suggests that teaching practices should be designed to encourage active engagement, critical thinking, and problem-solving. It also emphasizes the role of the teacher in creating an environment where students feel emotionally supported, which aligns with the concept of empathetic communication. The theory suggests that such communication fosters an atmosphere where students are more likely to engage deeply with the content and develop a more robust understanding of the material.

Goleman's Theory of Emotional Intelligence (1995) highlights the importance of emotional awareness and regulation in communication. In educational contexts, this theory suggests that instructors who possess emotional intelligence are better able to understand students' emotional states and respond in a supportive manner. This ability to empathize with students enhances the teacher-student relationship and creates a more effective learning environment, thereby improving academic performance and student satisfaction.

The legal-educational framework theory (Hawkins, 2015) underscores the importance of clear legal guidelines in shaping educational practices. This theory emphasizes that legal regulations ensure fairness, protect the rights of both students and educators, and promote equity in education. According to Hawkins, the integration of legal frameworks into educational institutions helps maintain high academic standards and prevents abuses, providing a structured environment in which both students and teachers can thrive.

This theoretical framework has provided a comprehensive overview of the core concepts of teaching, empathetic communication, and legal-educational frameworks. It has highlighted the importance of these elements in shaping higher education practices and student outcomes. Previous studies have demonstrated the positive impact of empathetic communication on student satisfaction and academic success, as well as the role of legal frameworks in enhancing student retention and performance.

The theories reviewed Constructivist Learning Theory, Emotional Intelligence Theory, and Legal Educational Framework Theory, offer valuable insights into the mechanisms that drive the interactions between teaching practices, communication, and legal regulations in education. Each theory contributes to a deeper understanding of how these variables influence the educational experience.

This research aims to address gaps in the existing literature by examining the integration of empathetic communication and

legal frameworks in the context of higher education, specifically in Peru. This study will contribute to the development of more effective educational policies and teaching strategies that align with both pedagogical and legal standards, ultimately improving the quality of education and fostering better learning outcomes for students.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design

This study adopts a descriptive-correlational design, which is non-experimental and cross-sectional in nature. The research is descriptive as it outlines the reality under study, sketches its characteristics, and specifies its impact. The goal is to determine the levels of empathetic communication and teacher performance, describe their characteristics, and identify any demographic differences. Furthermore, the research employs a correlational design to establish the relationship between two or more variables. Specifically, the study aims to assess the co-variation between the levels of empathetic communication and teacher performance.

A survey was conducted, with the empathetic communication variable comprising 24 items (Rosenberg, 2003) and the teacher performance variable containing 23 items (Blanco & Di Vora, 1992). In both cases, a Likert scale was used (1, never; 2, almost never; 3, sometimes; 4, almost always; 5, always). The sample consisted of 364 students from private universities in Lima, specifically those with a Business faculty and a 30-year educational background. In terms of reliability, Cronbach's alpha for teacher performance was 0.976, while for empathetic communication, it was 0.772.

3.2. Population and Sampling

The study population consisted of 364 students from private universities in Lima, specifically those with Business faculties and over 30 years of educational experience. A deliberate sampling method was used to ensure diversity in academic disciplines, teaching experience, and institutional backgrounds. The participants included students enrolled in various business-related programs, providing insights into their perceptions of teacher performance and empathetic communication.

3.3. Data Analysis

The quantitative data collected from the surveys were analyzed using both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. Descriptive statistics, such as mean scores and standard deviations, were used to summarize the responses of the participants. Inferential statistics, including correlation analysis and regression models, were employed to examine the relationship between empathetic communication and teacher performance.

3.4. Validity and Reliability

The content validity of the instruments was established through expert review, ensuring that the survey items were relevant and appropriate. A pilot study was conducted to test the reliability and clarity of the instruments. The Cronbach's alpha coefficients confirmed the internal consistency of the items, with values of 0.976 for teacher performance and 0.772 for empathetic communication, demonstrating that the instruments were reliable and suitable for this study.

3.5. Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant Institutional Review Board (IRB). Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their right to withdraw at any time, and the confidentiality of their responses. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to data collection.

4. RESULTS

This chapter presents the findings and analysis of the study focused on exploring the relationship between empathetic communication and teachers' job performance, with particular attention to the dimensions of empathic concern and perspective-taking. The analysis is based on data collected from a sample of university students and employs the Spearman's rank-order correlation test, a non-parametric method selected due to the non-normal distribution of the dataset.

The core objective of this research is to understand how empathetic communication—both within and beyond the academic environment—affects the perceived effectiveness of university instructors.

The chapter is structured to begin with a descriptive statistical overview, offering insight into the distribution of empathetic communication and the levels of teaching performance among the professors included in the sample. This is followed by a detailed presentation of the Spearman correlation results, elucidating the strength and direction of the relationships between the variables under investigation.

Special emphasis is placed on the interpretation of significant differences observed across various levels of empathetic engagement and their corresponding influence on job performance. The analysis aims to identify key patterns that highlight the role of empathetic behaviors in fostering effective educational practices within higher education settings.

Table 1: Levels of empathetic communication and its dimensions.

		Empathetic communication							
		Low		Medium		High		Total	
		f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
Empathic concern	Low	7	58.3	5	41.7	0	0.0	12	3.3
	Medium	1	0.4	246	89.5	28	10.2	275	75.5
	High	0	0.0	14	18.2	63	81.8	77	21.2
Perspective-taking	Low	7	77.8	2	22.2	0	0.0	9	2.5
	Medium	1	0.3	238	81.8	52	17.9	291	79.9
	High	0	0.0	25	39.1	39	60.9	64	17.6
Total		8	2.2	265	72.8	91	25.0	364	100

The data presented in Table 1 provides a nuanced understanding of how students perceive their instructors' empathetic communication, particularly within the dimensions of empathic concern and perspective-taking. A significant finding is that the majority of faculty members are perceived to exhibit a medium level of empathic concern (75.5%) and perspective-taking (79.9%). This indicates that while most professors are attuned to the emotional and cognitive states of their students, there remains room for improvement in consistently demonstrating deeper levels of empathy and understanding.

Notably, a considerable portion of instructors (21.2%) are recognized for showing high empathic concern, reflecting a strong capacity among certain educators to emotionally connect with and support their students. Conversely, the presence of low levels of empathic concern (3.3%) and perspective-taking (2.5%) among a minority of respondents highlights a subset of faculty members who may struggle to effectively engage in empathetic communication, underscoring the variability of empathetic abilities within the academic environment.

The distribution of empathetic communication levels emphasizes the crucial role of empathy in the educational setting, particularly in fostering supportive and inclusive classroom environments. The fact that a significant number of educators are perceived as highly empathetic aligns with the notion that empathy is essential for educational success, creating spaces in which students feel understood and valued.

However, the existence of low levels in both dimensions for a small group of teachers identifies a potential area for growth, reinforcing the need for ongoing professional development focused on enhancing empathetic communication skills. This perspective on the levels of empathetic communication offers valuable insights into the dynamics of teacher–student interactions, highlighting the importance of empathy in cultivating positive and effective learning experiences.

Interestingly, many of the items contributing to these evaluations relate to interactions that occur outside the classroom, suggesting that students perceive a lack of empathy in non-classroom settings as a consequence of teachers' heavy workloads and limited availability (Henderson et al., 2020).

Table 2: Description of teaching performance levels and their dimensions.

		Teaching Performance							
		Low		Medium		High		Total	
		f	%	f	%	f	%	f	%
Content mastery	Low	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	0.8
	Medium	1	1.7	42	72.4	15	25.9	58	15.9
	High	0	0.0	1	0.3	302	99.7	303	83.2
Didactic strategies	Low	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.3
	Medium	0	0.0	46	79.3	12	20.7	58	15.9
	High	0	0.0	0	0.0	305	100.0	305	83.8
Total		1	0.3	46	12.6	317	87.1	364	100

Table 2 provides an overview of the levels of teaching performance categorized as low, medium, and high, across two key dimensions: Content Mastery and Didactic Strategies. Each level within these dimensions is presented with corresponding frequencies (f) and percentages (%), illustrating the distribution among the surveyed educators.

In terms of Content Mastery, the majority of teachers fall within the medium and high-performance categories, with 58 teachers (15.9%) rated as medium and 303 (83.2%) as high. This distribution suggests a strong overall proficiency in subject matter knowledge among the teaching staff. In contrast, only 3 teachers (0.8%) were identified in the low category, indicating minimal deficiencies in this area.

Similarly, the data on Didactic Strategies show that most teachers are also classified within the medium and high-performance brackets. A total of 58 teachers (15.9%) are rated at the medium level, while 305 (83.8%) are recognized as high performers in employing instructional strategies. Interestingly, only one teacher (0.3%) is categorized under the low-performance level in this dimension.

Overall, the data indicate a high level of competency in both content mastery and the effective application of didactic strategies among the educators surveyed. These results highlight the general pedagogical strength of the teaching staff and point to an encouraging trend of academic and instructional excellence in the evaluated group.

Table 3: Correlation between empathic communication and teaching performance.

		Empathic communication		Teaching performance	
Rho de spearman	Empathic communication	Correlation coefficient	1.000	0.211**	
		Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	
		N	364	364	
	Teaching performance	Correlation coefficient	0.211**	1.000	
		Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		
		N	364	364	

Note: Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3 presents the results of Spearman's rank-order correlation, revealing a statistically significant and positive correlation ($r = .211$, $p < 0.01$) between empathetic communication and teaching performance. While the correlation is moderate, its significance suggests that instructors who are more empathetic tend to be perceived as more effective in their teaching practices. This finding resonates with theories of relational pedagogy and transformative education, where empathy is considered essential for fostering meaningful learning experiences and enhancing student engagement.

In the broader context of educational rights and policy frameworks, this association reinforces the notion that students' rights to quality education must be accompanied by teaching models that are not only competent but also emotionally attuned. Empathetic communication contributes to the realization of inclusive and equitable learning environments, aligning with both local legal frameworks and global commitments such as the UN Sustainable Development Goal 4 (Quality Education).

Moreover, the implications for higher education policy and institutional development are substantial. Institutions aiming to comply with national and international quality standards should consider including empathetic competencies as part of teaching evaluation criteria, alongside traditional indicators of academic performance. From a juridical perspective, promoting empathy in teaching aligns with the rights-based approach to education, reinforcing both the professional dignity of teachers and the emotional well-being of students.

Table 4: Correlation between the dimension of empathic concern and teaching performance.

			Empathic concern	Teaching performance
Spearman's rho	Empathic concern	Correlation coefficient	1.000	0.279**
		Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000
		N	364	364
	Teaching performance	Correlation coefficient	0.279**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	
		N	364	364

Note: Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 4 reveals a statistically significant and positive correlation between the dimension of empathic concern and teaching performance, with a Spearman's rho of $r = .279$ ($p < 0.01$). This indicates a moderate-to-strong association, suggesting that instructors who are perceived to genuinely care about the emotional and psychological states of their students tend to demonstrate higher overall teaching effectiveness.

This finding affirms the role of affective-emotional competencies as central to professional teaching performance. Within the framework of educational rights and teacher responsibilities, empathic concern reflects the ethical imperative to recognize and respond to the emotional needs of students, a tenet echoed in various international declarations on the right to inclusive and quality education (UNESCO, 2015). Teachers' capacity for emotional sensitivity contributes not only to classroom harmony but also to student motivation, trust, and retention, essential indicators of institutional quality.

Moreover, this dimension aligns with juridical frameworks that emphasize the duty of care in pedagogical relationships. In many national education policies, particularly in Latin America, teacher standards include ethical communication and emotional intelligence as criteria for accreditation and career progression. Therefore, encouraging empathic concern is not merely an interpersonal virtue—it is a policy-aligned competency that supports students' right to respectful and dignified treatment within educational institutions.

Table 5: Correlation between the perspective-taking dimension and teaching performance.

			Perspective-taking	Teaching performance
Spearman's rho	Perspective-taking	Correlation coefficient	1.000	0.166**
		Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000
		N	364	364
	Teaching performance	Correlation coefficient	0.166**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	
		N	364	364

Note: Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

As shown in Table 5, the dimension of perspective-taking also demonstrates a positive and statistically significant correlation with teaching performance ($r = .166$, $p < 0.01$), though the strength of the association is lower than that of empathic concern. Perspective-taking reflects a cognitive ability to understand the thoughts, experiences, and needs of others, and it plays a critical role in adaptive instruction and learner-centered pedagogy.

While the correlation is modest, its significance highlights the value of teachers' cognitive empathy in shaping inclusive learning strategies. The ability to consider diverse student backgrounds and learning styles is essential in multicultural and multilingual educational contexts, which are increasingly the norm in higher education worldwide. From a comparative educational policy perspective, institutions in systems that promote intercultural competencies and student-centered teaching models are more likely to embed perspective-taking in their training and evaluation practices.

Additionally, legal instruments that protect students' rights to non-discrimination and equal opportunity—such as the General Law of Education in Peru, or the European Standards and Guidelines for Quality Assurance—implicitly support this skill. Teachers who practice perspective-taking help foster inclusive and equitable classrooms, ensuring that all students, regardless of background, feel seen, heard, and respected.

5. DISCUSSION

The integration of empathetic communication within the higher education framework, particularly in the context of educational-juridical frameworks, plays a significant role in enhancing educational quality. The relationship between teaching practices, empathy, and educational rights underscores the importance of understanding the roles these elements play in fostering equitable learning environments. Empathetic communication emerges not only as a pedagogical tool but also as a moral and ethical responsibility within academic institutions, aligning with both legal obligations and the need for inclusive teaching practices (Hojat et al., 2005; Bagacean et al., 2020; Rainer, 2020).

One of the central findings of this study is the critical role of empathy in teaching and its connection to academic performance and emotional well-being of students. As emphasized by Goleman (1996), Hojat et al. (2005), and Gusev et al. (2020), empathy is a fundamental aspect of building trust and engagement in the classroom. This aligns with the argument put forward by Goleman (1996), who suggests that emotional intelligence, which includes empathy, is as crucial as intellectual intelligence in academic settings. When teachers employ empathetic communication strategies, they create an environment where students feel respected, heard, and understood, facilitating better learning outcomes. Studies by Barnett and O'Keefe (2015) further support the idea that empathetic teaching improves student-teacher relationships, enhancing student retention and success.

Empathy fosters positive relationships, which are vital for student development, especially in higher education, where students often encounter complex emotional and academic challenges. As such, integrating empathy into teaching practices aligns with the notion that effective education requires more than just the transfer of knowledge. The findings of this study reinforce the view that empathy enhances not only the emotional connections between students and teachers but also the quality of the educational experience (Hojat et al., 2005; Barnett & O'Keefe, 2015).

Educational-juridical frameworks are designed to ensure that rights and policies governing higher education are adhered to, which is essential for creating a fair and just academic environment (Navarro-Mateu et al., 2019; Artavia, 2005). These frameworks outline the responsibilities of both students and educators, aiming to balance institutional policies with the needs of

diverse student populations. The study reveals that while educational-juridical frameworks provide necessary guidance on student rights and academic freedom, they also present challenges in promoting effective communication between students and teachers.

In particular, the legal frameworks governing education can either support or hinder the development of empathetic communication in teaching. For instance, as noted by Navarro-Mateu et al. (2019), the implementation of inclusive policies and rights for students with disabilities has led to more supportive environments in some higher education institutions. However, there are still significant gaps in the training of educators regarding the application of these policies in daily teaching practices. These findings suggest that while juridical frameworks are evolving to be more inclusive, their impact on teaching practices requires further attention and adaptation to the emotional and social dimensions of teaching.

When comparing the implementation of empathetic communication and educational-juridical frameworks across different educational systems, it is evident that there is a global push towards inclusivity and student-centered approaches (Winter et al., 2020; Bagacean et al., 2020). However, there is significant variation in the degree to which these elements are integrated into institutional practices. For example, countries with well-established systems of higher education, such as those in Europe and North America, tend to have more robust frameworks in place that address not only academic rights but also student mental health and emotional well-being (Beveridge, 2021; Goleman, 1996). These frameworks promote teacher training programs that emphasize empathy and emotional intelligence as core competencies (Winter et al., 2020; Suleinova & Ivanova, 2018).

In contrast, educational systems in emerging economies may face more significant challenges in aligning legal frameworks with the practicalities of empathetic teaching (Arellano, 2006). The lack of sufficient training and resources often leads to a mismatch between the ideal of student-centered, empathetic communication and the reality of overburdened educators who are tasked with implementing complex legal and policy structures. As such, the study highlights the need for a more nuanced understanding of how legal frameworks can support or hinder empathetic teaching practices, especially in resource-constrained contexts.

Despite the recognized benefits of empathetic communication in enhancing educational quality, its implementation within the context of educational-juridical frameworks remains a challenge (Artavia, 2005). One of the key obstacles identified in this study is the lack of a standardized approach to integrating emotional intelligence and empathy into higher education curricula. While some jurisdictions, such as those in Scandinavia, have developed comprehensive frameworks that integrate teacher training on empathy and communication skills (Suleinova & Ivanova, 2018), many educational systems still fail to prioritize these competencies in teacher development programs (Gusev et al., 2020).

Additionally, as noted by Arellano (2006) and Artavia (2005), non-verbal communication plays a crucial role in empathetic exchanges in the classroom. However, legal frameworks often overlook the significance of non-verbal cues and their role in fostering a supportive learning environment. This gap in understanding highlights the need for legal and policy reforms that take into account not just the verbal exchange of information but also the emotional dynamics of teaching and learning (Bagacean et al., 2020; Goleman, 1996).

This study underscores the importance of embedding empathetic communication within both the legal frameworks and teacher training programs in higher education (Beveridge, 2021). Policymakers should prioritize the inclusion of emotional intelligence and empathetic communication as fundamental components of teacher development. Furthermore, educational-juridical frameworks should be designed to support a holistic approach to student well-being, which includes emotional, social, and academic dimensions (Hojat et al., 2005; Mayer et al., 1997).

The integration of empathy into educational policies can serve as a tool for enhancing student engagement, retention, and success (Barnett & O'Keefe, 2015). As Goleman (1996) and Mayer et al. (1997) suggest, emotional intelligence is not only beneficial for students' personal growth but also contributes to a more positive and effective academic environment. Hence, educational systems must adapt to these evolving needs by integrating empathetic communication into both teaching practices and institutional policies.

6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the integration of empathetic communication within educational-juridical frameworks holds substantial promise for enhancing the quality of higher education. The findings of this study emphasize the importance of empathy not only as a pedagogical tool but also as a key component of the ethical and legal responsibilities that educators and institutions have toward their students. Empathy fosters positive student-teacher relationships, contributing significantly to academic success and emotional well-being. The results align with the growing body of literature that underscores emotional intelligence as a vital skill for educators in the contemporary educational landscape.

The study also highlights the need for stronger alignment between educational policies, legal frameworks, and empathetic communication practices. While global efforts toward inclusivity and the protection of student rights are evident, disparities in the implementation of these frameworks across various educational systems suggest the need for continued progress in teacher training and policy reforms. The successful incorporation of empathetic communication requires a holistic approach that integrates legal obligations with emotional and social considerations, ensuring that all students have equal access to supportive and transformative learning experiences.

Ultimately, the development of educational-juridical frameworks that prioritize empathetic communication can pave the way for more inclusive, equitable, and effective higher education systems. Moving forward, it is essential for policymakers and educators to collaborate in creating environments where both the academic and emotional needs of students are met, fostering a culture of empathy, respect, and academic excellence. As education continues to evolve, so too must the systems that support it, ensuring that the emotional and intellectual development of students remains at the heart of all educational practices.

REFERENCES

- Alcorta, A., González, J., Tavita, S., Rodríguez, F., & Hojat, M. (2005). Validation of the Jefferson medical empathy scale in Mexican medical students. *Salud Mental*, 28(5), 57-63.
- Arapé, E., & Rojas, J. (2001). Communication, conflict and negotiation. *Revista Telos de Estudios Interdisciplinarios*, 3(3), 367-373.
- Arellano, N. (2006). Barriers in nonverbal communication between teacher and student. *ORBIS Revista Científica Ciencias Humanas*, 2(4), 3-38.

- Artavia, J. (2005). Personal interactions between teachers and students in the teaching and learning process. *Revista Electrónica "Actualidades Investigativas en Educación"*, 5(2), 1-19.
- Bagacean, C., Cousin, I., Ubertini, A. H., El Yacoubi, E. I., Idrissi, M., Bordron, A., Mercadie, L., García, L. C., Ianotto, J. C., De Vries, P., & Berthou, C. (2020). Simulated patient and role-playing methodologies for training undergraduate medical students' communication and empathy skills. *Educación Médica BMC*, 20(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02401-0>
- Bain, K. (2007). *What the best university professors do* (2da ed.). Trad. Óscar Barberá. Universidad de Valencia.
- Blanco, C., & Di Vora, M. (1992). *Teaching staff evaluation: Guide for its development*. Ediciones publicitarias.
- Camerino, O., Castañer, M., & Buscá, F. (1999). Improving verbal and non-verbal communication in university classrooms. *Revista Electrónica Interuniversitaria de Formación del profesorado*, 2(1). <https://www.dialnet-MejoraDeLaComunicacionVerbalYNoVerbalEnLasAulasUni-2796423.pdf>
- Correia, R., & Navarrete, G. (2017). Social cognition and executive functions as key factors for effective pedagogy in higher education. *Frontiers de la Psicología*, 8, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02016>
- Costales, Y., Fernández, A., & Macías, C. (2014). Some theoretical considerations on social skills. *Revista Información Científica*, 87(5), 949-959. <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/5517/551757255019.pdf>
- Durán, R., & Kelly, L. (1985). An investigation into the cognitive domain of communicative competence. *Informes de Investigación en Comunicación*, 2(1), 112-119.
- García Llamas, J. (1999). *Teacher training. Necesidades y demandas*. Praxis.
- García-Pérez, R., Santos-Delgado, J. M., & Buzón-García, O. (2016). Virtual empathy as a digital competence in education 3.0. *Int J Educ Technol High Educ*, 13(30). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-016-0029-7>
- Goleman, D. (1996). *Inteligencia emocional*. Barcelona: Kairós.
- Goroshit, M., & Hen, M. (2016). Teachers' empathy: Can it be predicted by self-efficacy? *Profesores y Enseñanza: Teoría y Práctica*, 22(7), 805-818. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2016.1185818>
- Hassan, S. N. S., Ishak, N. M., & Bokhari, M. (2011). Impacts of emotional intelligence (EI) on the work values of secondary school teachers. *Procedia - Ciencias Sociales y del Comportamiento*, 30(2011), 1688-1692. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.10.326>
- Henderson, D., Sewell, K., & Wei, H. (2020). The impacts of faculty caring on nursing students' intention to graduate: A systematic review of the literature. *Revista Internacional de Ciencias de Enfermería*, 7(1), 105-111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2019.12.009>
- Hequing, H., Yanchun, L., & Yangie, S. (2020). What is the relationship between empathy and mental health in early childhood teachers? The role of educational experience. *Frontiers en Psicología*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01366>
- Kelley, J. (2006). *Social skills training* (8va ed.). Desclee de Brouwer.
- Lémonie, Y., Light, R., & Sarremejane, P. (2016). Teacher-student interaction, empathy, and its influence on learning in swimming lessons. *Deporte, Educación y Sociedad*, 21(8), 1249-1268. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2015.1005068>
- Madrigal, V., & Vargas, V. (2014). Implementation of non-violent communication and emotional education strategies in second language learning. *Revista de Lenguas Modernas*, (20), 323-334. <https://revistas.ucr.ac.cr>
- Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1997). What is emotional intelligence? En P. Salovey & D. Sluyter (Eds.), *Desarrollo Emocional e Inteligencia Emocional: Implicaciones para los Educadores* (págs. 3-31). Libros básicos.
- Mayer, J. D., Dipaolo, M., & Salovey, P. (1990). Perceiving affective content in ambiguous visual stimuli: A component of emotional intelligence. *Revista de Evaluación de la Personalidad*, 54, 772-781.
- Meyer, D., Boster, F., & Hecht, M. (1988). A model of empathic communication. *Informes de Investigación en Comunicación*, 5, 19-27.
- Morales, M., & Dubs, R. (2001). Quality indicators in the performance of teachers in the area of education for work. *Sapiens*, 2(1), 1-23.
- Mestre, V., Frías, M., & Samper, P. (2004). Measuring empathy: Analysis of the interpersonal reactivity index. *Psicothema*, 16(2), 255-260.
- Mestre, V., Pérez Delgado, E., Frías, D., & Samper, P. (1999). *Empathy assessment instruments. Psicología moral y crecimiento personal*. Editorial Ariel.
- Mestre, V., Samper, P., & Frías, M. (2002). Cognitive and emotional processes that predict prosocial and aggressive behavior: Empathy as a modulating factor. *Psicothema*, 14(2), 227-232.
- Navarro-Mateu, D., Franco-Ochoa, J., Valero-Moreno, S., & Prado-Gasco, V. (2019). To be or not to be an inclusive teacher: Are empathy and social dominance relevant factors for positive attitudes toward inclusive education? *PLoS ONE*, 14(12), e0225993. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal>
- Klassen, R. M., Durksen, T. L., Hashmi, W. A., Kim, L. E., Longden, K., Metsäpelto, R.-L., Poikkeus, A.-M., & Györi, J. G. (2018). National context and teacher characteristics: Exploring the critical non-cognitive attributes of novice teachers in four countries. *Enseñanza y formación del profesorado*, 72, 64-74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2018.03.001>
- Parejo, J. (1995). *Comunicación no verbal y educación*. Paidós.
- Plenc, D. (2011). Review of "what the best college professors do" by Ken Bain. *Enfoques*, XXIII(1), 105-108. <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/259/25920018008.pdf>
- Pérez Esclarín, A. (abril-junio, 2007). Quality of popular education. *Educere*, 11(37), 201-208. <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/356/35603704.pdf>
- Puchkova, I. (2016). The formation of communicative competence in terms of higher education. *IEJME—Educación Matemática*, 11(4), 725-733. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>
- Parada Romero, L. B. (2016). Teacher evaluation system, technological higher education institutions: Quality guidelines. *Praxis & Saber*, 7(13), 177-198. <https://doi.org/10.19053/22160159.4171>
- Revilla-Cuesta, V., Skaf, M., Varona, J. M., & Ortega-López, V. (2021). The COVID-19 outbreak. The pandemic and its social impact on education: Were engineering professors prepared to teach online?. *Int J Environ Res Salud Pública*, 18(4), 2127. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18042127>
- Rosenberg, M. (2003). *Nonviolent communication: A language of life*. Prensa PuddleDancer.
- Ruiz, J. (2012). *Research methodology*. Universidad de Deusto.
- Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imaginación, Cognición y Personalidad*, 9, 185-211.

- Schoenfeld-Tacher, K., Meyer-Parsons, R., & Shaw, (2015). Educational research report: Changes in students' empathy levels during the didactic portion of a veterinary program. *Revista de Educación Médica Veterinaria*, 42(3), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jvme.0115-007R>
- Stroebe, W. (2016). Student evaluations of teaching: No measure for TEF. *Tiempos de Educación Superior*. Retrieved from <https://www.timeshighereducation.com/comment/student-evaluations-teaching-no-measure-tef>
- Sogi, C., Zavala, S., Oliveros, M., & Salcedo, C. (2006). Self-assessment of training in doctor-patient relationship interview skills and communication skills for medical graduates. *Anales de la Facultad de Medicina*, 67(1), 30–37.
- Suleinova, A., & Ivanova, O. (2018). Emotional competence and individual action style of future higher education teachers in the education system for sustainable development. *Revista de Formación Docente para la Sostenibilidad*, 20(2), 44–63. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jtes-2018-0014>
- Sánchez, M., & Teruel, M. (2004). The training of university teachers: Needs and demands from their students. *Revista Interuniversitaria de Formación del Profesorado*, 18(2), 137–151.
- Yoshida, T., Watanabe, S., Takayuki, K., Hiroaki, T., Shiotsu, N., Hajime, S., Nakai, Sh., & Tori, Sh. (2021). What impact does postgraduate clinical training have on empathy among Japanese dentists in training? *BMC Med Educ*, 21, 53. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02481-y>
- Winter, R., Issa, E., Roberts, N., Robert, I. N., & Howick, J. (2020). Evaluating the effect of empathy-enhancing interventions in health education and training: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *BMJ Open*, 10(9), e036471. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-036471>
- Zabalza, M. (2003). *The teaching competencies of university professors*. *Calidad y desarrollo profesional*. Narcea.