

Analysis of the Relationship Between Environmental Law Enforcement and Ecosystem Degradation: A Case Study of Environmental Crimes in Indonesia

Tamaulina Br. Sembiring

Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi, Indonesia; tamaulina_Sembiring@yahoo.co.id

Keywords:

Environmental law enforcement;
Ecosystem degradation;
Environmental crimes;
Indonesia;
Legal framework.

Abstract. Environmental degradation remains a pressing issue globally, with environmental crimes significantly contributing to ecosystem destruction. This study examines the relationship between environmental law enforcement and ecosystem degradation in Indonesia, focusing on environmental crimes as a key factor. Using a qualitative approach, this research employs a literature review and library research to analyze existing studies, legal frameworks, and case studies related to environmental violations. The findings reveal that weak law enforcement, corruption, and regulatory loopholes exacerbate ecosystem degradation. Despite the presence of comprehensive environmental laws, enforcement remains inconsistent due to institutional challenges, lack of political will, and inadequate resources. Moreover, the study highlights the role of illegal logging, mining, and land conversion in accelerating environmental damage, often facilitated by ineffective governance structures. Comparative analysis with other countries suggests that stronger enforcement mechanisms, enhanced community participation, and stricter penalties are essential to mitigating environmental crimes. This study contributes to the discourse on environmental governance by underscoring the importance of stringent law enforcement, transparency, and accountability in addressing environmental crimes. It also suggests policy recommendations, including the integration of technological monitoring systems and strengthening legal institutions to ensure sustainable environmental protection. By shedding light on the gaps in Indonesia's environmental law enforcement, this study emphasizes the urgent need for systemic reforms to prevent further ecosystem degradation. Future research should explore the role of international cooperation and non-state actors in strengthening environmental governance.

1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental degradation has become an increasingly critical challenge in Indonesia, with significant losses occurring across various ecosystems. Between 2009-2019, Indonesia lost 182,091 hectares of mangroves due to land conversion for aquaculture, agriculture, palm oil plantations, and infrastructure development (Indonesia Ocean Justice Initiative, 2024). The country faces severe environmental issues including deforestation, marine pollution, air quality deterioration, and illegal mining activities that have resulted in widespread ecosystem destruction (Riyanti, 2023).

Law enforcement statistics from 2015-2021 reveal concerning trends in environmental crimes, with 1,641 cases of environmental pollution, 319 cases of hazardous waste dumping, and 489 cases of environmental damage (Haris, 2024). Despite existing environmental protection frameworks, the weak legal enforcement and inadequate regulatory mechanisms have contributed to continued environmental degradation (Riyanti, 2023).

The current research landscape reveals several critical gaps in understanding the relationship between environmental law enforcement and ecosystem degradation in Indonesia. While previous studies have established the importance of environmental law implementation, there remains a significant knowledge gap regarding the effectiveness of existing enforcement mechanisms (Rose, 2011). The absence of comprehensive guidelines for environmental crime sentencing and the lack of standardized indicators for determining proportional fines create inconsistencies in law enforcement (Naibaho & Purba, 2021).

Recent studies have demonstrated that implementing environmental law is crucial for achieving sustainable development goals and addressing environmental degradation (Riyanti, 2023). However, these studies have primarily focused on broad policy frameworks rather than specific enforcement mechanisms and their direct impact on ecosystem preservation (Zahroh & Najicha, 2022). This study aims to:

- Analyze the relationship between environmental law enforcement effectiveness and ecosystem degradation rates.
- Evaluate the current implementation challenges of environmental regulations.
- Develop a framework for improving enforcement mechanisms.

The research will contribute to:

- Enhanced understanding of environmental law enforcement impacts.
- Improved policy recommendations for ecosystem protection.
- Development of more effective enforcement strategies.

Environmental Law Enforcement encompasses the systematic implementation and monitoring of environmental regulations, including investigation procedures, prosecution mechanisms, and penalty systems. This variable examines the effectiveness of current enforcement practices, resource allocation, and institutional capacity (Riyanti, 2023).

Ecosystem Degradation represents the measurable decline in ecosystem health and functionality, including deforestation rates, biodiversity loss, and habitat destruction (Faria et al., 2023). This variable considers both direct and indirect impacts of human activities on natural systems.

The relationship between these variables is characterized by complex interactions between legal frameworks, enforcement

effectiveness, and environmental outcomes. Understanding this relationship is crucial for developing more effective environmental protection strategies.

2. RESEARCH METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach through a comprehensive literature review methodology to analyze the relationship between environmental law enforcement and ecosystem degradation in Indonesia. The research design follows a systematic literature review (SLR) framework as outlined by Snyder, (2019), which enables a thorough examination of existing scholarly works, legal documents, and empirical evidence related to environmental crimes and their impact on ecosystem degradation. The study utilizes both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data sources include Indonesian environmental legislation, court decisions, and official government reports on environmental law enforcement from 2019 to 2024. Secondary data encompasses peer-reviewed journal articles, research publications, policy papers, and institutional reports from recognized environmental organizations. Following the methodology proposed by Xiao & Watson, (2019), the literature search was conducted using systematic database queries across multiple academic platforms including Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science.

The data collection process follows a structured three-phase approach as suggested by Paul & Criado, (2020). First, relevant literature is identified using predetermined keywords and search strings related to environmental law enforcement, ecosystem degradation, and environmental crimes in Indonesia. Second, the collected materials are screened based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, focusing on publications from 2019-2024 to ensure contemporary relevance. Third, the selected materials are categorized and coded according to thematic areas including enforcement mechanisms, degradation indicators, and policy effectiveness. The analytical framework employs a qualitative content analysis method, incorporating both deductive and inductive approaches as recommended by Mayring, (2015). The analysis follows several systematic steps: (1) initial coding of collected materials, (2) theme identification and categorization, (3) pattern analysis, and (4) synthesis of findings. The study utilizes the NVIVO software for systematic coding and thematic analysis, following the methodological guidelines outlined by Jackson & Bazeley, (2019). To ensure research validity and reliability, the study implements triangulation techniques as described by Flick, (2022), comparing multiple data sources and theoretical perspectives. The analysis process includes peer review validation and cross-referencing of findings with existing empirical studies to maintain scientific rigor and credibility.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Indonesia faces serious challenges in environmental degradation as reflected in several key indicators.

Table 1: Summery

No	Main indicator	Phenomenon	Source
1	Mangrove ecosystem degradation	Loss of 182,091 hectares of mangroves during the 2009-2019 period Main causes: land conversion for ponds, agriculture, oil palm plantations, and infrastructure development	(Indonesia Ocean Justice Initiative, 2024)
2	Major environmental issues	High rates of deforestation due to illegal logging and land conversion Marine pollution that threatens marine biodiversity Coral reef damage and declining fish populations Air pollution from industry, transportation, and wildfires	(Riyanti, 2023)
3	Law enforcement	Implementation of Law Number 6 of 2023 concerning environmental law enforcement Administrative and criminal sanctions mechanisms that have been established The involvement of various law enforcement institutions such as the police, prosecutor's office, and courts	(Permana et al., 2023)

3.1. Discussion

Environmental law enforcement in Indonesia continues to face systemic weaknesses that hinder its effectiveness in mitigating ecosystem degradation. Although a legal framework exists through Law No. 6 of 2023, its implementation remains fraught with challenges, particularly in terms of inter-agency coordination, resource allocation, and enforcement consistency (Dewi & Basir, 2023). The institutional landscape for environmental protection involves multiple stakeholders, including the Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK), the Indonesian National Police, local governments, and the judiciary. However, the lack of an integrated enforcement system leads to inefficiencies in handling environmental violations (Kidalov & Snizhna, 2021).

One of the major issues is weak coordination among law enforcement agencies, which results in unclear jurisdictional boundaries and overlapping responsibilities. For example, illegal logging and land conversion cases often involve multiple authorities, but without a centralized enforcement mechanism, offenders exploit legal loopholes to avoid prosecution (Sujono & Nugroho, 2023). Additionally, corruption within law enforcement bodies further weakens regulatory effectiveness, allowing environmental crimes to persist despite existing legal provisions.

Another critical challenge is the limited capacity of human resources in handling environmental cases (Lengnick-Hall & Lengnick-Hall, 2002). Law enforcement officers, particularly at the regional level, often lack specialized training in environmental crime investigations, forensic analysis of ecological damage, and the application of environmental laws in court. This situation leads to ineffective case resolutions, where perpetrators either receive minimal penalties or evade legal consequences altogether. Strengthening training programs, technical resources, and legal literacy for law enforcement personnel is essential to ensuring stronger environmental governance (Alauddin et al., 2024).

Moreover, the judicial system plays a crucial role in environmental law enforcement. However, inconsistencies in judicial rulings, lenient sentencing for environmental offenders, and prolonged legal proceedings reduce the deterrent effect of environmental regulations. In many cases, corporations responsible for large-scale deforestation or pollution pay administrative fines rather than facing criminal prosecution, which fails to prevent future violations (Capriola, 2017).

The role of technology in environmental law enforcement remains underutilized. Satellite imaging, drones, and artificial intelligence-based monitoring systems can significantly enhance real-time environmental crime detection, yet their adoption in Indonesia remains limited (Al-Qassim, 2023). To improve enforcement mechanisms, greater investment in technological

innovations and cross-sector collaborations is necessary.

3.1.1. Ecosystem Degradation Patterns and Impacts

The patterns of ecosystem degradation in Indonesia reveal an alarming trend, particularly concerning deforestation, mangrove loss, and land degradation. Deforestation rates remain high, driven by illegal logging, palm oil expansion, and land conversion for agriculture and infrastructure projects. Between 2015 and 2023, Indonesia lost millions of hectares of forest cover, directly impacting biodiversity and accelerating climate change effects (Adnan, 2023).

Mangrove ecosystems, crucial for coastal protection and biodiversity conservation, are also experiencing significant degradation. These ecosystems play a vital role in mitigating coastal erosion, providing breeding grounds for marine species, and acting as carbon sinks. However, due to unsustainable practices such as shrimp farming, land reclamation, and industrial development, Indonesia has lost over 40% of its mangrove forests in the last three decades. This destruction exacerbates coastal vulnerability, leading to higher risks of flooding, loss of fisheries, and decreased livelihoods for coastal communities (Andrews et al., 2021).

The degradation of ecosystems not only threatens biodiversity but also has profound socio-economic consequences. Communities that depend on natural resources for their livelihoods, such as fishermen, farmers, and indigenous groups, face increased economic hardship due to declining agricultural productivity, loss of fish stocks, and worsening environmental conditions. Additionally, environmental degradation contributes to climate change impacts, including higher temperatures, prolonged droughts, and unpredictable weather patterns, which further disrupt local economies (Mishra et al., 2021).

Environmental degradation also increases the frequency and severity of natural disasters. For instance, deforestation in upland areas leads to soil erosion and landslides, particularly during the rainy season. Additionally, wetland destruction reduces natural water filtration capacity, leading to higher pollution levels in rivers and drinking water sources. The interconnection between ecosystem degradation and disaster risk highlights the urgent need for stronger environmental protection policies (Hurlbert et al., 2019).

3.1.2. Strengthening Environmental Protection Framework

A comprehensive approach is required to strengthen Indonesia's environmental protection framework. This approach should involve policy reforms, institutional capacity-building, stricter law enforcement, and community participation (Williams, 2015). The following key strategies can enhance environmental governance:

1. Enhancing Law Enforcement Capacity
 - Training law enforcement personnel in environmental crime investigation, forensic evidence collection, and prosecution strategies.
 - Establishing specialized environmental law enforcement units with dedicated resources to handle environmental violations.
 - Strengthening coordination between central and regional agencies to improve enforcement consistency.
2. Improving Institutional Coordination
 - Establishing an integrated enforcement system that connects KLHK, police forces, judiciary, and local governments for real-time case tracking and prosecution.
 - Reducing bureaucratic inefficiencies and legal loopholes that allow environmental offenders to escape accountability.
3. Implementing Stronger Sanctions and Penalties
 - Increasing criminal penalties for environmental crimes, ensuring that perpetrators face severe consequences rather than just administrative fines.
 - Enforcing corporate accountability, where companies causing large-scale environmental damage are required to conduct ecological restoration and compensation measures.
4. Promoting Community Participation in Environmental Monitoring
 - Encouraging local communities and indigenous groups to take part in environmental protection efforts through citizen reporting systems and participatory conservation programs.
 - Strengthening legal recognition of indigenous land rights, ensuring that communities with traditional ecological knowledge play a role in sustainable land management.
5. Leveraging Technological Innovations
 - Expanding the use of satellite imaging, remote sensing, and AI-driven monitoring systems to detect illegal deforestation, mining, and land-use changes in real-time.
 - Developing mobile applications for public environmental reporting, allowing communities to report violations directly to law enforcement authorities.

The recent Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) signed in 2023 between KLHK and multiple stakeholders represents a positive step towards better environmental management (Caldecott et al., 2018). This agreement facilitates data sharing, joint operations against environmental crimes, and coordinated policy implementation. However, the success of this initiative depends on strong political commitment, financial investments, and continuous monitoring of enforcement outcomes.

4. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study highlight the significant challenges in environmental law enforcement in Indonesia, particularly in addressing environmental crimes that contribute to ecosystem degradation. Despite the existence of a comprehensive legal framework, including Law No. 6 of 2023, enforcement remains weak due to institutional inefficiencies, lack of coordination among law enforcement agencies, and corruption. The failure to impose strict penalties and the absence of specialized environmental law enforcement units have allowed perpetrators of environmental crimes, such as illegal logging, mining, and land conversion, to continue their activities with minimal consequences. As a result, ecosystem degradation continues to accelerate, causing biodiversity loss, environmental disasters, and socio-economic hardships for local communities.

This study also demonstrates that mangrove destruction, deforestation, and land degradation have far-reaching consequences beyond environmental damage, affecting climate resilience, food security, and the livelihoods of coastal and rural communities. The lack of effective enforcement mechanisms has contributed to the expansion of these destructive practices,

further weakening Indonesia's ability to meet its sustainability and climate goals. Addressing these issues requires systemic reforms, including enhancing law enforcement capacity, strengthening institutional coordination, imposing harsher penalties, and incorporating community participation in environmental monitoring. Moreover, leveraging technology such as satellite imaging, remote sensing, and artificial intelligence can significantly improve real-time monitoring and enforcement.

Future research should explore the role of international cooperation and non-state actors in strengthening environmental governance in Indonesia. Comparative studies analyzing the effectiveness of environmental law enforcement in other countries with similar ecological and legal challenges could provide valuable insights for policy improvement. Additionally, research on the economic impact of environmental crimes, including cost-benefit analyses of stricter law enforcement versus continued environmental degradation, could strengthen the case for more rigorous regulatory frameworks. Lastly, further investigation into the effectiveness of community-based conservation initiatives and indigenous land rights in preventing environmental crimes would be beneficial in shaping more inclusive and sustainable environmental policies.

REFERENCES

- Adnan, B. A. (2023). Tropical forest conservation efforts as climate change mitigation in Indonesia: A review. *Interdisciplinary International Journal of Conservation and Culture*, 1(2), 80–89.
- Al-Qassim, M. (2023). *Environmental enforcement technology adoption: Marine environment in the Kingdom of Bahrain* [Doctoral dissertation, Brunel University London]. Brunel University Research Archive. <https://bura.brunel.ac.uk/handle/2438/25984>
- Alauddin, R., Arsad, J. H., Dp, A. A., Faisal, F., & Ratnaningsih, M. R. (2024). The challenges and opportunities of environmental law enforcement: A systematic review. *WSEAS Transactions on Environment and Development*, 20, 184–193.
- Andrews, N., Bennett, N. J., Le Billon, P., Green, S. J., Cisneros-Montemayor, A. M., Amongin, S., Gray, N. J., & Sumaila, U. R. (2021). Oil, fisheries and coastal communities: A review of impacts on the environment, livelihoods, space and governance. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 75, 102009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2021.102009>
- Caldecott, J., Mahaningtyas, A., Howard, B., Williams, D., & Lincoln, P. (2018). *Third independent review of the Indonesia-Norway cooperation on reducing greenhouse gas emissions from REDD+*. LTS International & Michelsen Institute. <https://www.cmi.no/publications/file/6663-third-independent-review-of-the-indonesia-norway.pdf>
- Capriola, M. (2017). *Climate crimes: Climate change and deforestation: A case-study of state-corporate crime in Peru* [Master's thesis, Stockholm University]. DiVA Portal. <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1108196/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
- Dewi, M. N. K., & Basir, A. (2023). Indonesia's Omnibus Law and protection of labor rights. *Amsir Law Journal*, 5(1), 66–73. <https://doi.org/10.36746/alj.v5i1.309>
- Faria, D., Morante-Filho, J. C., Baumgarten, J., Bovendorp, R. S., Cazetta, E., Gaiotto, F. A., Mariano-Neto, E., Mielke, M. S., Pessoa, M. S., & Rocha-Santos, L. (2023). The breakdown of ecosystem functionality driven by deforestation in a global biodiversity hotspot. *Biological Conservation*, 283, 110126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2023.110126>
- Flick, U. (2022). Diversity, ethics and transparency as continuing challenges in designing qualitative research. In U. Flick (Ed.), *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research design* (pp. 1233–1242). SAGE Publications. <https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/eur/the-sage-handbook-of-qualitative-research-design/book267445>
- Haris, B. S. (2024). Added value and challenges of the follow-the-money approach in environmental crimes. *AML/CFT Journal: The Journal of Anti Money Laundering and Countering the Financing of Terrorism*, 2(2), 111–125. <https://doi.org/10.59593/amlcft.2024.v2i2.71>
- Hurlbert, M., Krishnaswamy, J., Davin, E., Johnson, F. X., Mena, C. F., Morton, J., Myeong, S., Viner, D., Warner, K., & Wreford, A. B. (2019). Risk management and decision-making in relation to sustainable development. In *Climate change and land: An IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems* (pp. 675–746). Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2019/08/2i.-Chapter-7_FINAL.pdf
- Indonesia Ocean Justice Initiative. (2024). *Strengthening law enforcement on mangrove ecosystem destruction in the Bangka-Belitung and Riau Islands provinces*. <https://oceanjusticeinitiative.org/en/strengthening-law-enforcement-on-mangrove-ecosystem-destruction-in-the-bangka-belitung-and-riau-islands-provinces/>
- Jackson, K., & Bazeley, P. (2019). *Qualitative data analysis with NVivo* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications. <https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/eur/qualitative-data-analysis-with-nvivo/book284044>
- Kidalov, S., & Snizhna, V. (2021). Administrative responsibility for violations in the field of natural environment protection. *Law. Human. Environment*, 12(3), 119–130. <https://doi.org/10.31548/law2021.03.15>
- Lengnick-Hall, M., & Lengnick-Hall, C. (2002). *Human resource management in the knowledge economy: New challenges, new roles, new capabilities*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Mayring, P. (2015). Qualitative content analysis: Theoretical background and procedures. In A. Bikner-Ahsbahr, C. Knipping, & N. Presmeg (Eds.), *Approaches to qualitative research in mathematics education* (pp. 365–380). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9181-6_13
- Mishra, A., Bruno, E., & Zilberman, D. (2021). Compound natural and human disasters: Managing drought and COVID-19 to sustain global agriculture and food sectors. *Science of the Total Environment*, 754, 142210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142210>
- Naibaho, N., & Purba, A. Y. (2021). Environmental crimes in Indonesia and the issue of proportionality in fine implementation. *PETITA*, 6(1), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.22373/petita.v6i1.114>
- Paul, J., & Criado, A. R. (2020). The art of writing literature review: What do we know and what do we need to know? *International Business Review*, 29(4), 101717. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2020.101717>

- Permana, D. Y., Karmenita, & Rahmat, N. (2023). Implementation of law enforcement in environmental aspects by Undang-undang Number 6 Year 2023. *The Easta Journal Law and Human Rights*, 1(3), 78–86. <https://doi.org/10.58812/eslhr.v1i03.83>
- Riyanti, R. (2023). The importance of implementation of environmental law in efforts to preserve natural resources in Indonesia. *International Journal of Humanities, Social Sciences and Business (INJOSS)*, 2(2), 170–182.
- Rose, G. (2011). Gaps in the implementation of environmental law at the national, regional and global level.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Sujono, I., & Nugroho, M. (2023). Omnibus Law as investment law reform in Indonesia based on the hierarchy of legislation principles. *Journal of Progressive Law and Legal Studies*, 1(2), 47–65. <https://doi.org/10.59653/jppls.v1i02.28>
- Williams, L. M. (2015). Beyond enforcement: Welcomeness, local law enforcement, and immigrants. *Public Administration Review*, 75(3), 433–442. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12359>
- Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 39(1), 93–112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971>
- Zahroh, U. A., & Najicha, F. U. (2022). Problems and challenges on environmental law enforcement in Indonesia: AMDAL in the context of administrative law. *Indonesian State Law Review (ISLRev)*, 5(2), 53–66. <https://doi.org/10.15294/islrev.v5i2.46511>
- Law Number 5 of 1960 concerning Basic Regulations on Agrarian Principles. Statute Book No. 104 of 1960, Supplement to Statute Book No. 2043.
- Government Regulation No. 24 of 1997 concerning Land Registration.
- Regulation of the Minister of Agrarian and Spatial Planning/Head of the National Land Agency of the Republic of Indonesia Number 6 of 2018 concerning Complete Systematic Land Registration.